arXiv:1008.3560v2 [math-ph] 23 Aug 2010

All the lowest order PDE for spectral gaps of Gaussian matrices

Igor Rumanov*

Department of Mathematics, UC Davis, 1 Shields Avenue, CA 95616

RAS Institute for Structural Macrokinetics and Material Science,

142432 Chernogolovka, Moscow oblast, Russia

August 24, 2010

Abstract

Tracy-Widom (TW) equations for one-matrix unitary ensembles (UE) (equivalent to a particular case of Schlesinger equations for isomonodromic deformations) are rewritten in a general form which allows one to derive all the lowest order equations (PDE) for spectral gap probabilities of UE without intermediate higher-order PDE. This is demonstrated on the example of Gaussian ensemble (GUE) for which all the third order PDE for gap probabilities are obtained explicitly. Moreover, there is a *second order* PDE for GUE probabilities in the case of more than one spectral endpoint.

This approach allows to derive all PDE at once where possible, while in the method based on Hirota bilinear identities and Virasoro constraints starting with different bilinear identities leads to different subsets of the full set of equations.

---

*e-mail: igorrumanov@math.ucdavis.edu; current address: MSRI, 17 Gauss Way, Berkeley, CA 94720, e-mail: irumanov@msri.org

1 Introduction: Schlesinger-TW Equations and τ-functions

We consider the unitary ensembles (UE) of Hermitian random matrices. Various large n limits for this case belong to important widespread universality classes: bulk limit leads to equations for the sine kernel [8, 15, 9] whereas edge limit (largest eigenvalues) leads to Airy kernel [14, 15, 9]. One studies the operator K^J with the kernel $K^J(x,y) = K(x,y)\chi_{J^c}(y)$, where $K(x,y) = (\varphi(x)\psi(y) - \psi(y)\varphi(x))/(x-y)$ and $\chi_{J^c}(y)$ is equal to zero on J and one on its complement J^c in $\mathbb{R}$. Important for this theory is the resolvent kernel of K (we will use short-hand notation K for K^J), $R(x,y)$, the kernel of $K(I-K)^{-1}$, which is defined by the operator identity

$$(I+R)(I-K) = I. \tag{1}$$

One introduces [8, 7, 15] the auxiliary functions

$$Q(x;J) = (I-K)^{-1}\phi(x), \qquad P(x;J) = (I-K)^{-1}\psi(x), \tag{2}$$

and auxiliary inner products [15], which are functions of only the endpoints a_k of J,

$$u = (q, \phi\chi_{J^c}), \qquad v = (q, \psi\chi_{J^c}) = (p, \phi\chi_{J^c}), \qquad w = (p, \psi\chi_{J^c}). \tag{3}$$

Then the resolvent kernel $R(x,y)$ is [8, 7, 15]

$$R(x,y) = \frac{Q(x;J)P(y;J) - P(x;J)Q(y;J)}{x-y}, \ (x, y \in J^c, \ x \neq y) \tag{4}$$

and (prime denotes the derivative w.r.t. x, e.g. $Q'(x;J) = dQ(x;J)/dx$)

$$R(x,x) = P(x;J)Q'(x;J) - Q(x;J)P'(x;J). \tag{5}$$

The Tracy-Widom (TW) equations for one-matrix case are the equations for the functions of the endpoints — $R(a_j, a_k)$,

$$q_j = Q(a_j;J), \qquad p_j = P(a_j;J), \tag{6}$$

and the auxiliary functions u, v, w. Among them there are universal equations valid for any Hermitian one-matrix unitarily invariant model. They read [15]

$$\frac{\partial q_j}{\partial a_k} = (-1)^k R(a_j, a_k) q_k, \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial a_k} = (-1)^k R(a_j, a_k) p_k, \tag{8}$$

$$R_{jk} \equiv R(a_j, a_k) = \frac{q_j p_k - p_j q_k}{a_j - a_k}, \tag{9}$$

for $j \neq k$ and

$$(-1)^{j-1} \partial_j \ln \tau^J = R_{jj} \equiv R(a_j, a_j) = p_j \frac{\partial q_j}{\partial a_j} - q_j \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial a_j}, \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial a_j} = (-1)^j q_j^2, \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial a_j} = (-1)^j q_j p_j, \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial a_j} = (-1)^j p_j^2. \tag{13}$$

By definitions of the matrix integral over J^n (or J^∞), τ^J, and the resolvent operator R, we have the fundamental relation between them, expressed by the first equation in formula (10). This is just a consequence of the defining connection, the equality of two different expressions for the probability of all eigenvalues to lie in J,

$$\det(I - K^J) = \frac{\tau^J}{\tau}, \tag{14}$$

where τ is the corresponding matrix integral over whole $\mathbb{R}^n$. The integrals τ^J and τ can be considered as particular values of certain τ-functions of integrable hierarchies, see e.g. [1, 3, 16].

The other equations are not universal, their particular form depends on the potential $V(x)$. Let

$$q_j' = Q'(a_j; J), \qquad p_j' = P'(a_j; J), \tag{15}$$

Then the non-universal equations are

$$\frac{\partial q_j}{\partial a_j} = q_j' - \sum_{k \neq j} (-1)^k R(a_j, a_k) q_k, \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial a_j} = p_j' - \sum_{k \neq j} (-1)^k R(a_j, a_k) p_k, \tag{17}$$

along with

$$R(a_j, a_j) = p_j q_j' - q_j p_j' + \sum_{k \neq j} (-1)^k R(a_j, a_k)(q_j p_k - p_j q_k). \tag{18}$$

The above equations are equivalent to the Schlesinger equations involving 2×2 matrices A_j,

$$A_j = (-1)^{j-1} \begin{pmatrix} q_j p_j & -q_j^2 \\ p_j^2 & -q_j p_j \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_j} \begin{pmatrix} -v & u \\ -w & v \end{pmatrix}, \tag{19}$$

see [6, 10] and [12] for details.

The paper is organized as follows. In section two we show our general form of equations derived from the above. In section 3 we specify it to the GUE ensemble and obtain explicitly all independent third order PDE for its gap probabilities, for arbitrary number of spectral endpoints. In section 4 we consider the simplest representative example of two endpoints for GUE in more detail, show that some of the previous third order equations are redundant in this case and expose also a second order PDE (lower order than Painlevé IV analogs!) satisfied by GUE probabilities. In section 5 we derive the results of section 2 which are the basis for all the rest. In the appendix we demonstrate the application of Adler-Shiota-van Moerbeke approach [1]–[3] to GUE probabilities based on our system from [11]. The last sections presents the conclusions.

2 The results. General case

The system of Schlesinger-TW equations can be reduced in general to the following convenient form, which, at least for the Gaussian case, will immediately give all the 3-rd order PDE in the logarithm of the gap probability, $\ln \mathbb{P}$, without intermediate higher-order PDE to integrate.

Theorem 1. *There are several series of universal equations independent of potential (i.e. of X_j, Y_j defined below): the two "1-point" equations,*

$$\partial_j v \partial_j G_j = G_j \partial_{jj}^2 v - \partial_j T \partial_j F, \tag{20}$$

$$G_j^2 = (\partial_j F)^2 + 4F(\partial_j v)^2, \tag{21}$$

and the four series of "2-point" equations,

$$P_{jl} \equiv \partial_j F \partial_l F - G_j G_l + 4F \partial_j v \partial_l v = 2F(a_j - a_l)^2 \partial_{jl}^2 T, \tag{22}$$

$$G_l \partial_j F - G_j \partial_l F = 2F(a_j - a_l)\partial_{jl}^2 v, \tag{23}$$

$$\partial_j G_l - \partial_l G_j = 2(a_j - a_l)\partial_{jl}^2 v, \tag{24}$$

$$(a_j - a_l)(\partial_j G_l + \partial_l G_j) = 4(\partial_l v \partial_j F - \partial_j v \partial_l F). \tag{25}$$

Also there are two series of non-universal equations where the data dependent on the potential ("spectral curve") enter, let $X_j = q_j'/q_j$, $Y_j = p_j'/p_j$, *then*

$$\partial_j \mathcal{D} v = \partial_j v (X_j + Y_j), \tag{26}$$

$$(\partial_j \mathcal{B}_0 - a_j \partial_j \mathcal{D})\, T = \partial_j v (Y_j - X_j), \tag{27}$$

Here $\mathcal{B}_k = \sum_j a_j^{k+1} \partial_j$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{B}_{-1} = \sum_j \partial_j$, $T = \ln \tau^J$, *definitions of* F *and* G_j *are somewhat different for finite size* n *and infinite matrices. For finite* n

$$F = UW \equiv \frac{\tau_{n+1}^J}{\tau_n^J} \cdot \frac{\tau_{n-1}^J}{\tau_n^J}, \tag{28}$$

$$G_j = W \partial_j U - U \partial_j W, \tag{29}$$

$$u \equiv u_n \equiv (\varphi, (I - K_n^J)^{-1} \varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_{n+1}\tau_{n-1}}{(\tau_n)^2}} \left(1 - \frac{\tau_{n+1}^J / \tau_{n+1}}{\tau_n^J / \tau_n} \right), \tag{30}$$

$$w \equiv w_n \equiv (\psi, (I - K_n^J)^{-1} \psi) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_{n+1}\tau_{n-1}}{(\tau_n)^2}} \left(\frac{\tau_{n-1}^J / \tau_{n-1}}{\tau_n^J / \tau_n} - 1 \right), \tag{31}$$

$$v \equiv v_n \equiv (\varphi, (I - K_n^J)^{-1} \psi) \equiv (\psi, (I - K_n^J)^{-1} \varphi) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \ln \frac{\tau_n^J}{\tau_n} \bigg|_{t=0}. \tag{32}$$

For infinite ensembles

$$F = -uw, \tag{33}$$

$$G_j = u \partial_j w - w \partial_j u. \tag{34}$$

We observe that we have 4 series of 1-point equations (depending on one subscript j) and 4 series of 2-point equations (depending on two subscripts, j and l). This means that for the case of N spectral endpoints the total number of equations here is $4N + 4N(N-1)/2 = 2N(N+1)$. The model-dependent functions are supposed to be ultimately expressed in terms of the variables T, v, F and $\{G_j, j = 1, \dots, N\}$. Then the number of dependent variables in the equations is going to be equal to $N+3$. We get only an *upper bound* on the number of independent PDE for the single function T only, assuming that the other $N+2$ variables are eliminated, reducing the number of equations by $N+2$:

$$\#\text{ equations for } T \leq 2N(N+1) - (N+2) = 2N^2 + N - 2.$$

As we will see below on the example of GUE, the actual number of independent equations for T is less than this bound. It seems difficult to determine the exact number in general.

Multiplying the equations (26) and (27) by a_j^k with an integer $k \geq 0$ and summing over the endpoints a_j, one gets

Theorem 2. *The model-specific equations for one-matrix UE can be presented in the following general form in terms of operators* $\{\mathcal{B}_k, k \geq -1\}$ *of Virasoro subalgebra:*

$$\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{D}v = \Phi_k, \tag{35}$$

$$(\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_0 - \mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{D})T = \Gamma_k, \tag{36}$$

where we denoted $\Phi_k = \sum_j a_j^k \partial_j v(X_j + Y_j)$ *and* $\Gamma_k = \sum_j a_j^k \partial_j v(Y_j - X_j)$.

Multiplying the universal "2-point" equations by $a_j^k a_l^m$, then summing over j and l and introducing quantities

$$\hat{G}_k = \sum_j a_j^k G_j$$

leads to

Theorem 3. *The four common series of equations for all one-matrix UE in terms of operators* $\{\mathcal{B}_k, k \geq -1\}$ *of Virasoro subalgebra read:*

$$\mathcal{B}_{k-1}F\mathcal{B}_{m-1}F - \hat{G}_k\hat{G}_m + 4F\mathcal{B}_{k-1}v\mathcal{B}_{m-1}v = 2F(\mathcal{B}_{k+1}\mathcal{B}_{m-1} + \mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_{m+1} - 2\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{B}_m)T, \tag{37}$$

$$\hat{G}_m\mathcal{B}_{k-1}F - \hat{G}_k\mathcal{B}_{m-1}F = 2F(\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{B}_{m-1} - \mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_m + \mathcal{B}_{k+m-1})v, \tag{38}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\hat{G}_m - \mathcal{B}_{m-1}\hat{G}_k + (k-m)\hat{G}_{k+m-1} = 2(\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{B}_{m-1} - \mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_m + \mathcal{B}_{k+m-1})v, \tag{39}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{m-1}\hat{G}_{k+1} - \mathcal{B}_m\hat{G}_k - \hat{G}_{k+m} = 2(\mathcal{B}_{m-1}v\mathcal{B}_{k-1}F - \mathcal{B}_{k-1}v\mathcal{B}_{m-1}F) - (\mathcal{B}_{k+1}\mathcal{B}_{m-1} + \mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_{m+1} - 2\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{B}_m)v. \tag{40}$$

All the other equations that UE satisfy in terms of the operators $\mathcal{B}_k$ *only, are combinations of these equations and their derivatives.*

It is worth to write out explicitly the components $k, 0$ and k, k of the "multivariable Painlevé-type" series (37), which contains indeed all multivariable generalizations of Painlevé equations:

$$\mathcal{B}_{k-1} F \mathcal{D} F - \hat{G}_k \hat{G}_0 + 4F \mathcal{B}_{k-1} v \mathcal{D} v = 2F(\mathcal{B}_{k+1}\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_1 - 2\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{B}_0)T, \tag{41}$$

$$(\mathcal{B}_{k-1}F)^2 - \hat{G}_k^2 + 4F(\mathcal{B}_{k-1}v)^2 = 2F(\mathcal{B}_{k+1}\mathcal{B}_{k-1} + \mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_{k+1} - 2(\mathcal{B}_k)^2)T. \tag{42}$$

One can eliminate G_j-variables using equations (21), (22) and (23) and obtain 2 independent series of universal PDE containing only T and functions v and F, which, for finite size ensembles, are related to T as [12] $v = -\partial_t T|_{t=0}$ and $F = \partial_{tt}^2 T|_{t=0}$, where t is the first time of one-dimensional Toda lattice hierarchy [3, 11]. They can be written as e.g.

Theorem 4. *For any two spectral endpoints a_j and a_l, the following two PDE hold for all one-matrix UE:*

$$(\partial_{jl}^2 v)^2 - (a_j - a_l)^2(\partial_{jl}^2 T)^2 + 4\partial_{jl}^2 T \partial_j v \partial_l v = 0, \tag{43}$$

$$(a_j - a_l)^2(F(\partial_{jl}^2 v)^2 - \partial_{jl}^2 T \partial_j F \partial_l F) - (\partial_l v \partial_j F - \partial_j v \partial_l F)^2 = 0. \tag{44}$$

All the other such equations that UE satisfy are combinations of these and their derivatives.

Remark. In the previous paper we used a higher-order PDE[1],

$$\partial_j v(\partial_{jj}^2 F + 2(\partial_j v)^2) = \partial_j F \partial_{jj}^2 v - \partial_j T G_j, \tag{45}$$

which is in fact the eq. (20) where $\partial_j G_j$ has been eliminated using the derivative of eq. (21) w.r.t. a_j. Then from eqs. (21) and (45) one obtains the higher-order universal "1-point" PDE of our previous paper [12],

$$\left(\partial_j v(\partial_{jj}^2 F + 2(\partial_j v)^2) - \partial_j F \partial_{jj}^2 v\right)^2 = (\partial_j T)^2((\partial_j F)^2 + 4F(\partial_j v)^2), \tag{46}$$

while the eqs. (43) and (44) are "2-point" equations.

---

[1] we know it is higher-order due to the connection with Toda lattice since in general $F = \partial_{tt}^2 T|_{t=0}$, where t is the first Toda time.

3 Results for the Gaussian case

For the Gaussian case the expressions for the non-universal quantities X_j and Y_j can be found [15] and written as

$$\partial_j v(X_j + Y_j) = 2\partial_j F,$$

$$\partial_j v(Y_j - X_j) = 2(G_j + a_j \partial_j v),$$

so the two non-universal equations (26) and (27) give, respectively,

$$\mathcal{D}v = 2(F - n/2),$$

$$2G_j = (\partial_j \mathcal{B}_0 - a_j \partial_j \mathcal{D})\, T - 2a_j \partial_j v.$$

Then the linear equation (24) together with the last two relations result in formulas

$$2v = \mathcal{D}T, \tag{47}$$

$$4F = \mathcal{D}^2 T + 2n, \tag{48}$$

$$2G_j = (\partial_j \mathcal{B}_0 - 2a_j \partial_j \mathcal{D})\, T. \tag{49}$$

Thus, all auxiliary variables are expressed in terms of T. Substituting these expressions into the other universal equations one finds the following two theorems:

Theorem 5. *The logarithm T of a spectral gap probability for GUE satisfies the following "1-point" 3rd order equations:*

$$\partial_j \mathcal{B}_0 T \partial^2_{jj} \mathcal{D}T - \partial_j \mathcal{D}T \partial^2_{jj} \mathcal{B}_0 T = \partial_j T \partial_j \mathcal{D}^2 T - 2(\partial_j \mathcal{D}T)^2. \tag{50}$$

$$(\partial_j \mathcal{D}^2 T)^2 - 4((\partial_j \mathcal{B}_0 - 2a_j \partial_j \mathcal{D})\, T)^2 + 4(\mathcal{D}^2 T + 2n)(\partial_j \mathcal{D}T)^2 = 0. \tag{51}$$

All the other such equations it satisfies are combinations of these and their derivatives.

Eq. (50), however, becomes trivial in the case of one endpoint, while in the case of two endpoints the two such equations are a consequence of the other ones, see the next section. Whether this is the case in general, remains unclear at the moment.

Theorem 6. *The logarithm T of a spectral gap probability for GUE satisfies the following "2-point" 3rd order equations:*

$$\partial_j\mathcal{D}^2T\partial_l\mathcal{D}^2T-4\left(\partial_j\mathcal{B}_0-2a_j\partial_j\mathcal{D}\right)T\left(\partial_l\mathcal{B}_0-2a_l\partial_l\mathcal{D}\right)T+4(\mathcal{D}^2T+2n)\partial_j\mathcal{D}T\partial_l\mathcal{D}T=$$

$$=8(a_j-a_l)^2(\mathcal{D}^2T+2n)\partial^2_{jl}T, \tag{52}$$

$$\left(\partial_l\mathcal{B}_0-2a_l\partial_l\mathcal{D}\right)T\partial_j\mathcal{D}^2T-\left(\partial_j\mathcal{B}_0-2a_j\partial_j\mathcal{D}\right)T\partial_l\mathcal{D}^2T=2(a_j-a_l)(\mathcal{D}^2T+2n)\partial^2_{jl}\mathcal{D}T, \tag{53}$$

$$2(a_j-a_l)(\partial^2_{jl}\mathcal{B}_0-(a_j+a_l)\partial^2_{jl}\mathcal{D})T=\partial_l\mathcal{D}T\partial_j\mathcal{D}^2T-\partial_j\mathcal{D}T\partial_l\mathcal{D}^2T. \tag{54}$$

All the other such equations it satisfies are combinations of these and their derivatives.

We can now count the total number of third order PDE for the logarithm of GUE gap probabilities. We got two 1-point series and three 2-point series of equations, therefore in the case of N endpoints a_j one has $2N+3N(N-1)/2=N(3N+1)/2$ independent PDE. This should be reduced by 1 for $N=1$ since then (50) is trivial and we are left with (51) only.

The last three series of PDE have their counterparts in terms of $\mathcal{B}$-operators only. Since for the case of GUE we have

$$\Phi_k=2\mathcal{B}_{k-1}F=\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{D}v=\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{D}^2T/2, \tag{55}$$

$$\Gamma_k=2(\hat{G}_k+\mathcal{B}_kv)=2\hat{G}_k+\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{D}T=(\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_0-\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{D})T, \tag{56}$$

$$2\hat{G}_k=(\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_0-2\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{D})T, \tag{57}$$

we obtain immediately, either from theorem 3 or from theorem 6,

Theorem 7. *The logarithm T of a spectral gap probability for GUE satisfies the following equations in terms of operators $\{\mathcal{B}_k, k\geq -1\}$ of Virasoro subalgebra:*

$$\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{D}^2T\mathcal{B}_{m-1}\mathcal{D}^2T-4(\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_0-2B_k\mathcal{D})T(\mathcal{B}_{m-1}\mathcal{B}_0-2B_m\mathcal{D})T+4(\mathcal{D}^2T+2n)B_{k-1}\mathcal{D}T\mathcal{B}_{m-1}\mathcal{D}T=$$

$$=8(\mathcal{D}^2T+2n)(\mathcal{B}_{k+1}\mathcal{B}_{m-1}+\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_{m+1}-2\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{B}_m)T, \tag{58}$$

$$(\mathcal{B}_{m-1}\mathcal{B}_0-2\mathcal{B}_m\mathcal{D})T\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{D}^2T-(\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_0-2\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{D})T\mathcal{B}_{m-1}\mathcal{D}^2T=$$

$$= 2(\mathcal{D}^2T + 2n)(\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{B}_{m-1} - \mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_m + \mathcal{B}_{k+m-1})\mathcal{D}T, \tag{59}$$

$$(\mathcal{B}_{m-1}(\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{B}_0 - 2\mathcal{B}_{k+1}\mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{B}_m(\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{B}_0 - 2\mathcal{B}_k\mathcal{D}) + \mathcal{B}_k(\mathcal{B}_{m-1}\mathcal{B}_0 - 2\mathcal{B}_m\mathcal{D}) -$$

$$-\mathcal{B}_{k-1}(\mathcal{B}_m\mathcal{B}_0 - 2\mathcal{B}_{m+1}\mathcal{D}))\,T = \mathcal{B}_{m-1}\mathcal{D}T\mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{D}^2T - \mathcal{B}_{k-1}\mathcal{D}T\mathcal{B}_{m-1}\mathcal{D}^2T, \tag{60}$$

All the other equations in terms of the operators $\mathcal{B}_k$ it satisfies are combinations of these and their derivatives.

In fact, eq. (21) can be considered as eq. (22) for $a_j = a_l$, therefore, summing (22) over both subscripts j and l, we get the component in a sense corresponding to (21) also, namely, for GUE – the "diagonal" equation (58) with $m = k$. The total number of algebraically independent equations in the three series is thus $3N(N-1)/2 + N = N(3N-1)/2$ in the case of N endpoints. It is less than the total number of 1-point and 2-point equations above by N, as should be since equations (50) do not have a counterpart here.

E.g. the simplest members of the three series are, respectively,

$$(\mathcal{D}^3T)^2 - 4(\mathcal{D}T - \mathcal{B}_0\mathcal{D}T)^2 + 4(\mathcal{D}^2T + 2n)(\mathcal{D}T)^2 = 16(\mathcal{D}^2T + 2n)(\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{D} - (\mathcal{B}_0)^2 + \mathcal{B}_0)T, \tag{61}$$

$$(\mathcal{D}T - \mathcal{B}_0\mathcal{D}T)\mathcal{B}_0\mathcal{D}^2T - ((\mathcal{B}_0)^2T - 2\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{D}T)\mathcal{D}^3T = 2(\mathcal{D}^2T + 2n)(\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{D} - (\mathcal{B}_0)^2 + \mathcal{B}_0)\mathcal{D}T, \tag{62}$$

$$\big(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_0 - 2\mathcal{B}_2\mathcal{D}) - 2\mathcal{B}_0((\mathcal{B}_0)^2 - 2\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{D}) + \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{B}_0\mathcal{D})\big)\,T = \mathcal{D}^2T\mathcal{B}_0\mathcal{D}^2T - \mathcal{B}_0\mathcal{D}T\mathcal{D}^3T. \tag{63}$$

Equation (61) was first found by comparison of two 4th order PDE – the "boundary-KP" equation of [1] and another one derived by the author from "boundary-Toda-AKNS" system of [11], see Appendix. Now the general procedure to obtain *all the lowest order equations at once*, wherever possible, is outlined.

4 Example: Gaussian case with two endpoints

We start with general equations of theorem 1. However, let us introduce notations which will be convenient for Gaussian two-endpoint case. If the two endpoints are a_j and a_l, then let

$$\xi_+ = a_j + a_l, \quad \xi_- = a_j - a_l, \quad \mathcal{D} = \partial_j + \partial_l, \quad \mathcal{D}_- = \partial_j - \partial_l,$$

$$G_+ = G_j + G_l, \quad G_- = G_j - G_l.$$

Then we have

$$\partial_j = 1/2(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}_-), \quad \partial_l = 1/2(\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}_-), \quad G_j = 1/2(G_+ + G_-), \quad G_l = 1/2(G_+ - G_-).$$

In the new notation equations of theorem 1 read as follows:

$$(G_+ + G_-)^2 = (\mathcal{D}F + \mathcal{D}_-F)^2 + 4F(\mathcal{D}v + \mathcal{D}_-v)^2, \tag{21}$$

$$(G_+ + G_-)^2 = (\mathcal{D}F + \mathcal{D}_-F)^2 + 4F(\mathcal{D}v + \mathcal{D}_-v)^2, \tag{64}$$

$$(\mathcal{D}F)^2 - (\mathcal{D}_-F)^2 - G_+^2 + G_-^2 + 4F((\mathcal{D}v)^2 - (\mathcal{D}_-v)^2)) = 2F\xi_-^2(\mathcal{D}^2T - \mathcal{D}_-^2T), \tag{22}$$

$$G_+\mathcal{D}_-F - G_-\mathcal{D}F = \xi_-F(\mathcal{D}^2v - \mathcal{D}_-^2v), \tag{23}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_-G_+ - \mathcal{D}G_- = \xi_-(\mathcal{D}^2v - \mathcal{D}_-^2v), \tag{24}$$

$$\xi_-(\mathcal{D}G_+ - \mathcal{D}_-G_-) = 4(\mathcal{D}v\mathcal{D}_-F - \mathcal{D}_-v\mathcal{D}F), \tag{25}$$

$$(\mathcal{D}v + \mathcal{D}_-v)(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}_-)(G_+ + G_-) = (G_+ + G_-)(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}_-)^2v - 2(\mathcal{D}T + \mathcal{D}_-T)(\mathcal{D}F + \mathcal{D}_-F), \tag{20}$$

$$(\mathcal{D}v - \mathcal{D}_-v)(\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}_-)(G_+ - G_-) = (G_+ - G_-)(\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}_-)^2v - 2(\mathcal{D}T - \mathcal{D}_-T)(\mathcal{D}F - \mathcal{D}_-F). \tag{65}$$

Adding and subtracting the first two equations above, one gets, respectively,

$$G_+^2 + G_-^2 = (\mathcal{D}F)^2 + (\mathcal{D}_-F)^2 + 4F((\mathcal{D}v + (\mathcal{D}_-v)^2), \tag{66}$$

$$G_+G_- = \mathcal{D}F\mathcal{D}_-F + 4F\mathcal{D}v\mathcal{D}_-v. \tag{67}$$

Adding and subtracting (22) from (66) gives, respectively,

$$G_+^2 = (\mathcal{D}F)^2 + 4F(\mathcal{D}v)^2 - \xi_-^2F(\mathcal{D}^2 - \mathcal{D}_-^2)T, \tag{68}$$

$$G_-^2 = (\mathcal{D}_- F)^2 + 4F(\mathcal{D}_- v)^2 + \xi_-^2 F(\mathcal{D}^2 - \mathcal{D}_-^2)T. \tag{69}$$

The non-universal equations for the Gaussian two-endpoint case give

$$4G_+ = 2\mathcal{D}T - \xi_+\mathcal{D}^2 T - \xi_-\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}_- T, \tag{70}$$

$$4G_- = 2\mathcal{D}_- T - \xi_-\mathcal{D}^2 T - \xi_+\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}_- T - \xi_-(\mathcal{D}^2 T - \mathcal{D}_-^2 T), \tag{71}$$

and already known expressions for v and F in terms of T. One sees again that (24) is satisfied. Denoting also

$$r = \mathcal{D}T, \quad S = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}_- T, \quad r_- = \mathcal{D}_- T, \quad A = \mathcal{D}^2 T - \mathcal{D}_-^2 T,$$

one finds expressions

$$v = r/2, \quad F = 1/4(\mathcal{D}r + 2n),$$

$$\mathcal{D}r - \mathcal{D}_- r = A, \quad \mathcal{D}r_- = \mathcal{D}_- r = S,$$

$$\mathcal{D}v = \mathcal{D}r/2, \quad \mathcal{D}_- v = S/2, \quad (\mathcal{D}^2 - \mathcal{D}_-^2)v = 1/2\mathcal{D}A,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- S = \mathcal{D}^2 r - \mathcal{D}A, \quad \mathcal{D}_-^2 v = 1/2(\mathcal{D}r - \mathcal{D}A),$$

$$\mathcal{D}F = 1/4\mathcal{D}^2 r, \quad \mathcal{D}_- F = 1/4\mathcal{D}S,$$

$$4G_+ = 2r - \xi_+\mathcal{D}r - \xi_- S, \quad 4G_- = 2r_- - \xi_-\mathcal{D}r - \xi_+ S - \xi_- A,$$

$$4\mathcal{D}G_+ = -\xi_+\mathcal{D}^2 r - \xi_-\mathcal{D}S, \quad 4\mathcal{D}G_- = -\xi_-\mathcal{D}^2 r - \xi_+\mathcal{D}S - \xi_-\mathcal{D}A,$$

$$4\mathcal{D}_- G_+ = -\xi_+\mathcal{D}S - \xi_-(\mathcal{D}^2 r - \mathcal{D}A), \quad 4\mathcal{D}_- G_- = -\xi_+(\mathcal{D}^2 r - \mathcal{D}A) - \xi_-\mathcal{D}S - \xi_-\mathcal{D}_- A - 4A.$$

Using all these expressions, we can rewrite our system of PDE as

$$(2r - \xi_+\mathcal{D}r - \xi_- S)^2 = (\mathcal{D}^2 r)^2 + 4(\mathcal{D}r)^2(\mathcal{D}r + 2n) - 4\xi_-^2(\mathcal{D}r + 2n)A, \tag{68}$$

$$(2r_- - \xi_-\mathcal{D}r - \xi_+ S - \xi_- A)^2 = (\mathcal{D}S)^2 + 4S^2(\mathcal{D}r + 2n) + 4\xi_-^2(\mathcal{D}r + 2n)A, \tag{69}$$

$$(2r - \xi_+ \mathcal{D}r - \xi_- S)(2r_- - \xi_- \mathcal{D}r - \xi_+ S - \xi_- A) = \mathcal{D}^2 r \mathcal{D}S + 4\mathcal{D}rS(\mathcal{D}r + 2n), \tag{67}$$

$$(2r - \xi_+ \mathcal{D}r - \xi_- S)\mathcal{D}S - (2r_- - \xi_- \mathcal{D}r - \xi_+ S - \xi_- A)\mathcal{D}^2 r = 2\xi_-(\mathcal{D}r + 2n)\mathcal{D}A, \tag{23}$$

$$2(S\mathcal{D}^2 r - \mathcal{D}r\mathcal{D}S) = \xi_-(\xi_+ \mathcal{D}A - \xi_- \mathcal{D}_- A - 4A), \tag{25}$$

and equations (20) and (65) reduce after a long tedious calculation to the following two equations,

$$2\xi_- A\mathcal{D}^2 r = (\xi_-(\mathcal{D}r + A) - 2r_-)\mathcal{D}A + S(\xi_- \mathcal{D}_- A + 4A), \tag{72}$$

$$2\xi_- A\mathcal{D}S = (\xi_- S - 2r)\mathcal{D}A + \mathcal{D}r(\xi_- \mathcal{D}_- A + 4A). \tag{73}$$

Let us denote also

$$\hat{F} = \mathcal{D}r + 2n, \quad D_- = \xi_- \mathcal{D}_- A + 4A.$$

Then, expressing $\mathcal{D}A$ from (23)

$$2\xi_- \hat{F}\mathcal{D}A = \hat{G}_+ \mathcal{D}S - \hat{G}_- \mathcal{D}^2 r, \tag{23}$$

and then D_- – from (25),

$$2\xi_- \hat{F} D_- = (\xi_+ \hat{G}_+ + 4\hat{F}\mathcal{D}r)\mathcal{D}S - (\xi_+ \hat{G}_- + 4\hat{F}S)\mathcal{D}^2 r, \tag{25}$$

Substituting these expressions into (72) and (73), one sees that the last equations become trivial. Thus, they are also redundant, i.e. are consequences of the rest of the system.

Remark. One can notice a lot of similarity with the final system of PDE for joint largest eigenvalue probabilities of *two coupled* GUE, see the Corollary in section 6 of [13]. This is to be expected in light of the representation of the matrix model with one finite size spectral gap in terms of models of two coupled matrices in [5], p. 6745. More, however, remains to be understood about these relations.

We are left with five independent PDE involving four independent third derivatives of T. This means that there should be one *second order PDE in* T, i.e. equation of *lower* order than multidimensional analogs of Painlevé IV. Indeed, this equation can be easily obtained, if we express $(\mathcal{D}^2 r\mathcal{D}S)^2$ from eq. (67) and compare with its expression obtained from the corresponding product of (68) and (69). It reads as

$$(\mathcal{D}r\hat{G}_- - S\hat{G}_+)^2 = \xi_-^2 A((\hat{G}_-)^2 - (\hat{G}_+)^2 + 4\hat{F}((\mathcal{D}r)^2 - S^2 - \xi_-^2 A)). \tag{74}$$

It becomes trivial upon the identification of the two endpoints as it should be. The analogous equation for GUE can be obtained also in general, for any number of endpoints. This follows by expressing $(\partial_j F \partial_l F)^2$ from (22) and comparing with its expression from the corresponding product of (21) and (64), now for any pair of endpoints a_j and a_l. Thus, we obtain

Theorem 8. *The logarithm of a GUE gap probability T satisfies a second order PDE,*

$$(\partial_l \mathcal{D}T(\partial_j \mathcal{B}_0 T - 2a_j \partial_j \mathcal{D}T) - \partial_j \mathcal{D}T(\partial_l \mathcal{B}_0 T - 2a_l \partial_l \mathcal{D}T))^2 =$$

$$4(a_j - a_l)^2 \partial_{jl}^2 T(-(\partial_j \mathcal{B}_0 T - 2a_j \partial_j \mathcal{D}T)(\partial_l \mathcal{B}_0 T - 2a_l \partial_l \mathcal{D}T) + (\mathcal{D}^2 T + 2n)(\partial_j \mathcal{D}T \partial_l \mathcal{D}T - (a_j - a_l)^2 \partial_{jl}^2 T)). \tag{75}$$

5 Transformation of the TW-Schlesinger system

Here mostly the derivations of the statements in the previous sections are gathered.

An important identity is obvious from definitions in section 1:

$$(\partial_j v)^2 = \partial_j u \partial_j w.$$

Taking the second derivatives of u, v and w and using the equations for derivatives of q_j and p_j, one can obtain:

$$\partial_{jl}^2 u = 2\frac{\partial_j u \partial_l v - \partial_l u \partial_j v}{a_j - a_l},$$

$$\partial_{jl}^2 v = \frac{\partial_j u \partial_l w - \partial_l u \partial_j w}{a_j - a_l},$$

$$\partial_{jl}^2 w = 2\frac{\partial_j v \partial_l w - \partial_l v \partial_j w}{a_j - a_l},$$

$$\partial_{jj}^2 u = 2(-1)^j q_j q_j' - \sum_{l \neq j} \partial_{jl}^2 u,$$

$$\partial_{jj}^2 v = (-1)^j (p_j q_j' + q_j p_j') - \sum_{l \neq j} \partial_{jl}^2 v,$$

$$\partial_{jj}^2 w = 2(-1)^j p_j p_j' - \sum_{l \neq j} \partial_{jl}^2 w.$$

The fisrt derivatives of T can be written in two different ways: on the one hand, by (10), (16) and (17),

$$\partial_j T = (-1)^{j-1} R_{jj} = (-1)^{j-1}(p_j q_j' - q_j p_j') - \sum_{l \neq j} (-1)^{j+l} R_{jl}^2 (a_j - a_l),$$

on the other hand, by (10) and (11)–(13),

$$\partial_j T = (-1)^{j-1} R_{jj} = (-1)^{j-1}(p_j \partial_j q_j - q_j \partial_j p_j) = \frac{\partial_j u \partial_{jj}^2 w - \partial_j w \partial_{jj}^2 u}{2\partial_j v} = -\frac{\partial_j v}{2} \partial_j \ln \left| \frac{\partial_j u}{\partial_j w} \right|.$$

The terms in the sum of the first formula can be also represented as

$$(-1)^{j+l} R_{jl}^2 (a_j - a_l) = \frac{\partial_j u \partial_l w + \partial_l u \partial_j w - 2\partial_j v \partial_l v}{a_j - a_l}.$$

Switching to the variables U, W, X_j, Y_j introduced above, one can rewrite the equations as follows:

$$\partial_j u \partial_l w = -\partial_j U \partial_l W, \quad (\partial_j v)^2 = -\partial_j U \partial_j W,$$

$$\partial_{jl}^2 U = 2\frac{\partial_j U \partial_l v - \partial_l U \partial_j v}{a_j - a_l},$$

$$\partial_{jl}^2 v = \frac{\partial_j W \partial_l U - \partial_l U \partial_j W}{a_j - a_l},$$

$$\partial_{jl}^2 W = 2\frac{\partial_j v \partial_l W - \partial_l v \partial_j W}{a_j - a_l},$$

$$\partial_{jj}^2 U = 2\partial_j U X_j - \sum_{l \neq j} \partial_{jl}^2 U,$$

$$\partial_{jj}^2 v = \partial_j v (X_j + Y_j) - \sum_{l \neq j} \partial_{jl}^2 v,$$

$$\partial_{jj}^2 W = 2\partial_j W Y_j - \sum_{l \neq j} \partial_{jl}^2 W,$$

$$\partial_j T = \partial_j v (Y_j - X_j) - \sum_{l \neq j} (-1)^{j+l} R_{jl}^2 (a_j - a_l),$$

$$\partial_j T = \frac{\partial_j W \partial_{jj}^2 U - \partial_j U \partial_{jj}^2 W}{2\partial_j v} = \frac{\partial_j v}{2} \partial_j \ln \left| \frac{\partial_j U}{\partial_j W} \right|.$$

Now we express everything in terms of new functions F and G_j defined in the statement of theorem 1. The first simple identity becomes

$$(\partial_j v)^2 = -\frac{(\partial_j F)^2 - G_j^2}{4F},$$

which is nothing but the equation (21). Mixed second derivative equations now read as

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^2_{jl} U &= U\frac{(\partial_j F + G_j)\partial_l v - (\partial_l F + G_l)\partial_j v}{(a_j - a_l)F}, \\
\partial^2_{jl} v &= \frac{G_l \partial_j F - G_j \partial_l F}{2(a_j - a_l)F}, \qquad (23) \\
\partial^2_{jl} W &= W\frac{\partial_j v(\partial_l F - G_l) - \partial_l v(\partial_j F - G_j)}{(a_j - a_l)F}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$W\partial^2_{jl}U - U\partial^2_{jl}W = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_j G_l + \partial_l G_j), \quad W\partial^2_{jl}U + U\partial^2_{jl}W = \partial^2_{jl}F - \frac{\partial_j F \partial_l F - G_j G_l}{2F},$$

it follows that

$$\partial_j G_l + \partial_l G_j = 4\frac{\partial_j F \partial_l v - \partial_l F \partial_j v}{a_j - a_l}, \qquad (25)$$

$$\partial^2_{jl} F - \frac{\partial_j F \partial_l F - G_j G_l}{2F} = 2\frac{G_j \partial_l v - G_l \partial_j v}{a_j - a_l}. \qquad (76)$$

By definitions, either (28) and (29) or (33) and (34), there are also equations of Adler-van Moerbeke type [4],

$$\partial_j \left(\frac{G_l}{F}\right) = \partial_l \left(\frac{G_j}{F}\right), \qquad (77)$$

see [13] for the discussion of their analog for coupled GUE joint distributions first derived in [4]. The last equation together with (23) means that a general *linear* PDE holds:

$$\partial_j G_l - \partial_l G_j = 2(a_j - a_l)\partial^2_{jl} v. \qquad (24)$$

The diagonal equations then read:

$$\partial_j G_j = (\partial_j F + G_j)X_j - (\partial_j F - G_j)Y_j - 2\sum_{l \neq j} \frac{\partial_j F \partial_l v - \partial_l F \partial_j v}{a_j - a_l}, \qquad (78)$$

$$\partial_{jj}^2 F - \frac{(\partial_j F)^2 - G_j^2}{2F} = (\partial_j F + G_j)X_j + (\partial_j F - G_j)Y_j - 2\sum_{l\neq j} \frac{G_j \partial_l v - G_l \partial_j v}{a_j - a_l}, \tag{79}$$

$$\partial_{jj}^2 v = \partial_j v(X_j + Y_j) - \sum_{l\neq j} \frac{G_l \partial_j F - G_j \partial_l F}{2(a_j - a_l)F}.$$

Using the above equation (23) and the diagonal equation for $\partial_{jj}^2 v$, the second derivatives $\partial_{jl}^2 v$ can be summed over all l, giving

$$\mathcal{D}\partial_j v = \partial_j v(X_j + Y_j). \tag{26}$$

For $\partial_j T$ one has expressions

$$\partial_j T = \partial_j v(Y_j - X_j) - \sum_{l\neq j} (-1)^{j+l} R_{jl}^2 (a_j - a_l) = \partial_j v(Y_j - X_j) + \sum_{l\neq j} \frac{\partial_j F \partial_l F - G_j G_l + 4F\partial_j v \partial_l v}{2(a_j - a_l)F}, \tag{80}$$

and

$$\partial_j T = \frac{2F\partial_j F \partial_j G_j - G_j(2F\partial_{jj}^2 F - (\partial_j F)^2 + G_j^2)}{8F^2 \partial_j v} = \frac{\partial_j F \partial_j G_j - G_j(\partial_{jj}^2 F + 2(\partial_j v)^2)}{4F\partial_j v}.$$

If we sum over j the first of these two equations, the last sum on its right-hand side cancels out since its terms are antisymmetric in indices j and l, so we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}T = \sum_j \partial_j v(Y_j - X_j). \tag{81}$$

The second derivatives of F, entering eqs. (76) and (79), can in fact be removed from consideration. This is because we can take the corresponding derivatives of equation (21) and compare with (76) and (79). The result is that equation (76) is redundant – it follows from the derivative of (21) w.r.t. a_l and the other equations. As for the equation (79), its comparison with the derivative of (21) w.r.t. a_j,

$$2\partial_j F \partial_{jj}^2 F - 2G_j \partial_j G_j + 4\partial_j F(\partial_j v)^2 + 8F\partial_j v \partial_{jj}^2 v = 0,$$

gives immediately the equation (20), which is thus also proved. As we will see, at least for Gaussian case using eq. (20) is preferable since it encodes a third order PDE for T, while (79) corresponds to a fourth order PDE in T. So we keep (20) and then (79) is also redundant.

Thus, what remains to prove theorem 1 is to derive equations (22) and (27).

Proof. The simplest way to prove (22) and (27) is to differentiate (10) over a_l, $l \neq j$, and then use (7), (8), which gives

$$\partial^2_{jl}T = (-1)^j(q_j\partial^2_{jl}p_j - p_j\partial^2_{jl}q_j + \partial_l q_j\partial_j p_j - \partial_l p_j\partial_j q_j) =$$

$$= (-1)^{j+l}(q_j\partial_j(R_{jl}p_l) - p_j\partial_j(R_{jl}q_l) + R_{jl}(q_l\partial_j p_j - p_l\partial_j q_j)),$$

but (7) and (8) also imply that

$$q_j\partial_j p_l - p_j\partial_j q_l = 0,$$

and therefore, differentiating (9), we get

$$\partial_j R_{jl} = -\frac{R_{jl}}{a_j - a_l} + \frac{p_l\partial_j q_j - q_l\partial_j p_j}{a_j - a_l}.$$

Applying the last two equations results in the fundamental relation

$$\partial^2_{jl}T = -(-1)^{j+l}R^2_{jl}, \tag{82}$$

which is equivalent to (22) if we express R_{jl} in terms of F, G_j, G_l and v. Now, substituting $\partial^2_{jl}T$ into the summand of (80) and gathering terms with derivatives of T together, one obtains equation (27). □

It remains to prove theorem 4, since all the other results are consequences of theorem 1.

Proof. We first multiply eq. (22) by $\partial_j F\partial_l F$, take square of eq. (23) and then compare them, getting

$$2G_j\partial_l F\cdot G_l\partial_j F = 2(\partial_j F\partial_l F)^2 + 8F\partial_j v\partial_l F\cdot\partial_l v\partial_j F - 4F(a_j - a_l)^2\partial^2_{jl}T\partial_j F\partial_l F =$$

$$= G_j^2(\partial_l F)^2 + G_l^2(\partial_j F)^2 - 4F^2(a_j - a_l)^2(\partial^2_{jl}v)^2.$$

Then we use eq. (21) to express G_j^2 and G_l^2, after which some terms cancel out and the last equality above becomes eq. (44) of theorem 4.

To derive eq. (43), we first write down a direct consequence of (22),

$$(\partial_j F\partial_l F - G_jG_l)^2 = 4F^2((a_j - a_l)^2\partial^2_{jl}T - 2\partial_j v\partial_l v)^2,$$

then use the square of (23) again, obtaining

$$(\partial_j F\partial_l F)^2 - G_j^2(\partial_l F)^2 - G_l^2(\partial_j F)^2 + 4F^2(a_j - a_l)^2(\partial^2_{jl}v)^2 + G_j^2G_l^2 = 4F^2((a_j - a_l)^2\partial^2_{jl}T - 2\partial_j v\partial_l v)^2.$$

Applying again eq. (21) to eliminate G_j^2 and G_l^2 from the last equation leads to eq. (43) after cancellation of several terms.

It is clear that the number of such independent equations is two since we used four equations algebraically eliminating two variables – G_j and G_l from them.

□

6 Conclusions

All independent lowest order PDE for GUE spectral gap probabilities are explicitly obtained. This gives also an example of explicit integrable hierarchies in terms of isomonodromic "times" – the spectral endpoint variables.

Acknowledgements

Author is grateful to C.A.Tracy for stimulating comments and general encouragement.

This work was done with NSF support under grant DMS-0906387.

7 Appendix: PDE for Gaussian matrices from bilinear identities and Virasoro constraints

In [11] from Toda-AKNS system we obtained the following system of three equations for Gaussian one-matrix gap probabilities:

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2 \ln \tau_n^J = 2(2U_nW_n - n), \tag{83}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2 U_n = (-2\mathcal{B}_0 + 4n)U_n - 8U_n^2W_n, \tag{84}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2 W_n = (2\mathcal{B}_0 + 4n)W_n - 8U_nW_n^2, \tag{85}$$

where $U_n = \tau_{n+1}^J/\tau_n^J$, $W_n = \tau_{n-1}^J/\tau_n^J$, and the operators $\mathcal{B}_k$ contain partial derivatives w.r.t. the boundary points of the intervals:

$$\mathcal{B}_k\tau_n^J = \sum_{i\in\{endpoints\}} a_i^{k+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}\tau_n^J.$$

It can be solved in general. Let $F = UW \equiv U_nW_n$, $G = W\mathcal{B}_{-1}U - U\mathcal{B}_{-1}W$, $G_0 = W\mathcal{B}_0U - U\mathcal{B}_0W$, $T = \ln\tau_n^J$. We will need the commutation relation:

$$\mathcal{B}_0\mathcal{B}_{-1} = \mathcal{B}_{-1}(\mathcal{B}_0 - 1). \tag{86}$$

The combination $W \cdot (84) - U \cdot (85)$ together with (83) gives

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1}G = -2\mathcal{B}_0 F = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_0\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2 T = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_{-1}(\mathcal{B}_0 - 1)\mathcal{B}_{-1}T,$$

or, taking into account vanishing of all the derivatives at infinity,

$$G = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{B}_0 - 1)\mathcal{B}_{-1}T. \tag{87}$$

The combination $W \cdot (84) + U \cdot (85)$ and the above definitions of new variables lead to

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2 F - \frac{(\mathcal{B}_{-1}F)^2 - G^2}{2F} = -2G_0 - 16F(F - \frac{n}{2}). \tag{88}$$

Another combination, $\mathcal{B}_{-1}W \cdot (84) + \mathcal{B}_{-1}U \cdot (85)$, results in

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1}\left(\frac{(\mathcal{B}_{-1}F)^2 - G^2}{4F}\right) = -2(\mathcal{B}_{-1}W\mathcal{B}_0 U - \mathcal{B}_{-1}U\mathcal{B}_0 W) - 8(F - \frac{n}{2})\mathcal{B}_{-1}F. \tag{89}$$

If we try to use the expression

$$2(\mathcal{B}_{-1}W\mathcal{B}_0 U - \mathcal{B}_{-1}U\mathcal{B}_0 W) = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{-1}FG_0 - \mathcal{B}_0 FG}{F} = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{-1}FG_0 + 1/2G\mathcal{B}_{-1}G}{F}$$

on the right-hand side of (89), we get just eq. (88) back again and nothing new. One needs to use another expression for the right-hand side of (89):

$$2(\mathcal{B}_{-1}W\mathcal{B}_0 U - \mathcal{B}_{-1}U\mathcal{B}_0 W) = \mathcal{B}_{-1}G_0 - (\mathcal{B}_0 + 1)G,$$

which can be obtained by moving the differentiation operators in $\mathcal{B}_{-1}W\mathcal{B}_0 U - \mathcal{B}_{-1}U\mathcal{B}_0 W$ to the left in two possible ways and then matching them and using eq. (86). Then eq. (89) becomes

$$2\mathcal{B}_{-1}G_0 = 2(\mathcal{B}_0 + 1)G - 8\mathcal{B}_{-1}(F - \frac{n}{2})^2 - \mathcal{B}_{-1}\left(\frac{(\mathcal{B}_{-1}F)^2 - G^2}{2F}\right). \tag{89}$$

Luckily, here $(\mathcal{B}_0 + 1)G$ can also be expressed as $\mathcal{B}_{-1}$-derivative, using eqs. (87) and (86):

$$2(\mathcal{B}_0 + 1)G = -(\mathcal{B}_0^2 - 1)\mathcal{B}_{-1}T = -\mathcal{B}_{-1}((\mathcal{B}_0 - 1)^2 - 1)T = \mathcal{B}_{-1}(2\mathcal{B}_0 - \mathcal{B}_0^2)T,$$

so eq. (89) is a total derivative and its integration gives

$$2G_0 = (2\mathcal{B}_0 - \mathcal{B}_0^2)T - 8(F - \frac{n}{2})^2 - \frac{(\mathcal{B}_{-1}F)^2 - G^2}{2F}. \tag{90}$$

Finally, substituting eq. (90) into eq. (88) and using eqs. (83) and (87), we obtain a single equation for $T = \ln \tau_n^J$:

$$(\mathcal{B}_{-1}^4 - 4\mathcal{B}_0^2 + 8\mathcal{B}_0 + 8n\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2)T + 2(\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2T)^2 - \frac{(\mathcal{B}_{-1}^3T)^2 - 4((\mathcal{B}_0 - 1)\mathcal{B}_{-1}T)^2}{\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2T + 2n} = 0. \tag{91}$$

This is a fourth order PDE as is the "boundary-KP" equation of Adler, Shiota and van Moerbeke [1],

$$(\mathcal{B}_{-1}^4 + 8n\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2 + 12\mathcal{B}_0^2 + 24\mathcal{B}_0 - 16\mathcal{B}_{-1}\mathcal{B}_1)\ln\tau_n^J + 6(\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2T)^2 = 0, \tag{92}$$

derived from the KP equation. Comparing the eqs. (91) and (92), we obtain a *third order* equation for T. Using another commutation relation:

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1}\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_{-1} + 2\mathcal{B}_0, \tag{93}$$

it can be written as

$$(\mathcal{B}_{-1}^3T)^2 - 4((\mathcal{B}_0 - 1)\mathcal{B}_{-1}T)^2 + 4(\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2T + 2n)((\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2T)^2 + (\mathcal{B}_0^2 - \mathcal{B}_0 - \mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_{-1})T) = 0. \tag{94}$$

This last equation is a direct multidimensional analog of the well-known Painlevé IV equation for single endpoint Gaussian case [15, 1]:

$$(r'')^2 - 4(\xi r' - r)^2 + 4(r')^2(r' + 2n) = 0, \tag{95}$$

where $r = T'$ and 'prime' means the derivative w.r.t. the endpoint ξ, since for the case of single endpoint it turns into the equation (95). The last term in the parentheses of eq. (94), which has no analog in eq. (95), turns then to zero.

However, the equation (94) is not the only third-order PDE satisfied by T, see the others for the 2-endpoint case in the sections above. Thus, this situation that the gap probabilities for more than one spectral endpoint satisfy several different PDE is very general and applies for both single and coupled ensembles.

References

[1] M. Adler, T. Shiota, P. van Moerbeke. Random matrices, vertex operators and the Virasoro algebra. *Physics Letters A*, 208:67–78, 1995.

[2] M. Adler, P. van Moerbeke. Matrix integrals, Toda symmetries, Virasoro constraints and orthogonal polynomials. *Duke Math Journal*, 80:863–911, 1995.

[3] M. Adler, P. van Moerbeke. Hermitian, symmetric and symplectic random ensembles: PDEs for the distribution of the spectrum. *Ann. Math.*, 153:149–189, 2001.

[4] M. Adler, P. van Moerbeke. The spectrum of coupled random matrices. *Ann. Math.*, 149:921–976, 1999.

[5] G. Bonnet, F. David, B. Eynard. Breakdown of universality in multi-cut matrix models. *J. Phys. A*, 33:6739–6768, 2000.

[6] J. Harnad, C. Tracy, H. Widom. Hamiltonian Structure of Equations Appearing in Random Matrices. *NATO ASI Series B, Vol. 314, Plenum Press, NY, ed. H. Osborn* , 314:231–245, 1993.

[7] A. Its, A. Izergin, V. Korepin, N. Slavnov. Differential equations for quantum correlation functions. *International Journal of Modern Physics B*, 4:1003–1037, 1990.

[8] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Môri, M. Sato. Density matrix of an impenetrable Bose gas and the fifth Painlevé transcendent. *Physica D*, 1:80–158, 1980.

[9] M.-L. Mehta. *Random matrices*, 3rd edition. Elsevier, San Diego, 2004.

[10] J. Palmer. Deformation analysis of matrix models. *Physica D*, 78:166–185, 1994.

[11] I. Rumanov. The correspondence between Tracy-Widom (TW) and Adler-Shiota-van Moerbeke (ASvM) approaches in random matrix theory: the Gaussian case. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 49:043503, 2008.

[12] I. Rumanov. Universal structure and universal PDE for unitary ensembles. *Journ. Math. Phys. 51*, 083512, 2010; *arXiv:/0910.4417.*

[13] I. Rumanov. Various equations for gap probabilities of coupled Gaussian matrices. *arXiv:/1007.3793*, 2010.

[14] C.A. Tracy, H. Widom. Level-Spacing Distributions and the Airy Kernel. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 159:151–174, 1994.

[15] C.A. Tracy, H. Widom. Fredholm determinants, differential equations and matrix models. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 163:33–72, 1994.

[16] P. van Moerbeke. Random and Integrable Models in Mathematics and Physics. Lectures at Montreal, CRM Short Program on Random Matrices, Random Processes and Integrable Systems, June 20 - July 8, 2005, arXiv:0712.3847.